Gary M. Epstein (202) 637-2249 gary.epstein@lw.com

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

January 28, 2005

555 Eleventh Street, N.W., Suite 1000 Washington, D.C. 20004-1304 Tel: (202) 637-2200 Fax: (202) 637-2201

www.lw.com

Moscow

FIRM / AFFILIATE OFFICES Boston New Jersey Brussels New York Chicago Northern Virginia Frankfurt Orange County Paris Hamburg San Diego Hong Kong London San Francisco Los Angeles Silicon Valley Singapore Milan

Washington, D.C.

Tokyo

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Ex Parte Communication, Developing Unified Intercarrier

Compensation Regime, CC Docket 01-92

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On Thursday, January 27, 2005, Gary M. Epstein of Latham & Watkins LLP, counsel for the Intercarrier Compensation Forum ("ICF"), together with Alan Buzacott of MCI, Inc., Eric Einhorn of SBC Communications Inc., Jeff Lindsey of Sprint Corporation, Joel Lubin and Amy Alvarez of AT&T Corp., and Tina Pidgeon of General Communication, Inc. ("GCI"), met with Robert M. Pepper, Evan Kwerel, Bill Sharkey, and Don Stockdale of the Office of Strategic Planning and Policy Analysis.

At the meeting, we used the materials attached to this letter as the basis for a discussion of the ICF's Intercarrier Compensation and Universal Service Reform Plan, filed with the Commission on October 5, 2004.

Please direct any questions concerning this matter to me at (202) 637-2249.

Very truly yours,

/s/ Gary Epstein

Gary M. Epstein

Intercarrier Compensation Forum

Universal Service Reform under the ICF Intercarrier Compensation and Universal Service Reform Plan

January 26, 2005

Key Features of the ICF Plan

- Uniform Network Interconnection
- Uniform Rate Structure
- Uniform Rate Level
- Universal Service

The ICF Plan Is In the Public Interest

- •Uniform Default Network Interconnection Rules
 - Are an essential part of a unified intercarrier compensation system
 - Reduce interconnection disputes
 - ◆ Provide certainty and a backdrop for negotiation
- •Uniform Intercarrier Compensation Rate Structure and Level
 - Eliminate artificial incentives and opportunities for regulatory arbitrage
 - Eliminate the need for the unsustainable local-toll distinction
 - Make it easier for consumers to receive the service packages they want at affordable rates
 - ◆ Lessen pressure for economic regulation of VOIP
 - Facilitate expanded toll competition and calling scope for local service, particularly in rural areas

The ICF Plan Is In the Public Interest (cont'd)

•Universal Service Reform –

- Ensures that universal service support is specific, predictable, and sufficient, as required by Section 254
- Promotes efficient competition by making the end user who chooses the service provider directly responsible for the cost of such services (instead of indirectly responsible, as happens today)
- Eliminates inefficient implicit cross-subsidies and allows the rate integration/averaging goal of Section 254(g) to be met
- Creates correct economic incentives for broadband deployment
- ◆ Places funding for universal service on firm footing for the future at a time when interstate, end user, telecommunications revenues are shrinking and becoming more difficult to ascertain
- ◆ Makes the universal service contribution base broader and lessens the resulting burden on individual consumers, while ensuring that all providers contribute equitably to universal service

The ICF Plan Is the Only Plan that Solves Today's Most Pressing Problems

- •No other proposal represents a comprehensive and operational solution to today's intercarrier compensation and universal service reform problems
- •No other proposal achieves true uniformity:
 - •ARIC, CBICC, and EPG would maintain originating and terminating access charges:
 - > Causing rates in rural areas to be higher than in urban areas
 - Leading to the end of toll rate averaging/integration
 - > Forcing carriers, to determine applicable rates, to attempt to maintain an unsustainable geographic mapping of NPA-NXXs
 - > Preserving opportunities for arbitrage
 - •No other plan achieves uniformity in network interconnection, a necessary prerequisite to uniform intercarrier compensation
- •No other plan creates a stable funding source for new universal service funding reform will require
- •The rural plans' proposal to apply the access model to the IP networks of the future is bad policy and cannot be sustained

No Other Plan Is Sustainable as a Legal or Policy Matter

•ARIC -

- ◆ Asks the Commission to export today's broken legacy system of intercarrier compensation to tomorrow's IP-based networks
- ◆Unlawfully delegates federal ratesetting responsibilities to the states and fails to require states to establish uniform rates

•EPG –

- ◆Solely addresses rural interconnection issues
- ◆Fails to explain how its needlessly complicated "port and link" proposal would work

•CBICC -

- ◆ Moves all intercarrier compensation to TELRIC rates without addressing uniformity, administrative, or jurisdictional issues
- ◆Fails to resolve industry-wide cost recovery or universal service issues

Modified Rules: CRTCs

- Are not required to bear the cost of transporting traffic outside of their service territories, except to reach other CRTCs.
- May grow through acquisitions of exchanges.
- May charge for terminating transport for all minutes (including EAS) they carry from the meet point to the Edge.
- Are subject to special rules re settlement of CRTC-CMRS disputes.
- May seek relief if the rate restructuring causes declines in special access demand.
- Monthly residential SLC caps are limited to increases of \$0.50 each year, to \$9.00, less than the SLC caps for other ILECs.
- Are subject to the new uncapped Transitional Network Recovery Mechanism (TNRM) support mechanism.

Preserving Universal Service

Modifications to Existing Support Mechanisms

- •Rural High Cost Loop Support
 - Cap removed.
 - National Average Unseparated Cost Per Working Loop unfrozen.
 - Rule reducing support for carriers with over 200,000 lines eliminated.

Safety Valve Support

- Support augmented in the partial year and first full year after an acquisition closes.
- Existing (5 percent) cap on aggregate safety valve support retained.
- New "Safety Valve II" support for switching and transport created.

Option to Elect Non-Rural High Cost Mechanism

• Certain CRTCs under the Plan may elect to receive support from the non-rural high cost mechanism.

Summary of Key Events in the ICF Plan

			· ·	•						
Step	Year beginning	Network	SLC Transi	tion	Pricing Flexibility for Price Cap			Universal Service		
Ciop	July 1:	Interconnection	Large Carriers	CRTCs	Carriers		Carriers		RTCs	
1	2005	W. G.	SLC caps rise in a 4-step transition subject to three constraints: (1) Neither the \$6.50 residential SLC cap nor the	Between Step 1 and Step 5, residential SLC caps increase from	Step 1 SLC pricing flexibility (subject to revenue limits, constraints to prevent shifting recovery from business to residential users, and safequards	Access Charges Four equal step plan transitions all	At step 1, non-access compensation rates unified at	Four equal step plan transitions all interstate and intrastate access charges to SLCs,	established for CRTC- CMRS traffic. Other non-access compensation rates unified at \$0.0003525	All at Step 1: New support mechanisms (ICRM and TNRM) provide support for intercarrier compensation amounts otherwise not recoverable.
2	2006	No Change	average residential SLC rate can increase by more	Se.50 to \$9.00 in \$0.50 annual increments. In Steps 1-3, other SLC caps increase only to the extent that they would	to prevent any effect on USF).	interstate and intrastate access charges to SLCs, new universal service support, and a single, uniform rate of \$0.000175 per terminating	minute. Between Step 1 and Step 4, a four-step plan transitions all non- access compensation to SLCs, new universal	\$0.000175 per terminating minute.	per minute. Four-step plan transitions all non- access compensation to SLCs, new universal service support, and a single, uniform rate of \$0.000175 per terminating minute.	Maintain rate-of-return principles for rate-of-return carriers. Cap removed from rural high cost loop support mechamism.
3	2007	New "Edge" rules take effect. New rates for edge- to-edge interconnection transport, transiting,	more than \$0.95/month in Steps 1 and 2, or by more than \$1.20/month in Steps 3 and 4. (3) Other SLC caps (non-primary residential and MLB) increase only to the	otherwise be below the residential SLC cap.		minute.	service support, and a single, uniform rate of \$0.000175 per terminating minute.	At Step 3, CRTC optic transport rates to an a terminating minute for electing to use such to network edges.	Changes to Safety Valve Mechanism take effect. Certain rural price cap carriers gain option to elect support from non- rural mechanism.	
4	2008	and optional CRTC terminating transport take effect.	extent they would otherwise be below the residential SLC cap.	At Step 4, the MLB SLC cap increase to \$10.00.	Additional Step 4 SLC pricing flexibility (subject to safeguards that prevent any effect on USF), including	Unifori (Telephone number and capacity-based unit contribution methodology replaces current interstate revenue-based system.			
5	2009		All SLC caps uniform at \$10.00 (USF calculated accordingly); inflation indexing takes effect	removing end user	removing end user charges from price caps.			revenue-based system.		
6	2010			(Optional) Residential SLC caps increase to \$9.50				% to \$0.0000875/termi rates for CRTCs prese		
7	2011			(Optional) Residential SLC cap increases to \$10.00		(te reduced to zero. rates for CRTCs preser	rved).	
8	2012			No Change		(ate remains at zero. rates for CRTCs prese	rved).	

Richard Cameron (202) 637-2225 richard.cameron@lw.com

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

FIRM / AFFILIATE OFFICES

www.lw.com

Washington, D.C. 20004-1304

Boston New Jersey
Brussels New York

555 Eleventh Street, N.W., Suite 1000

Tel: (202) 637-2200 Fax: (202) 637-2201

Chicago Northern Virginia Frankfurt Orange County

Frankfurt Orange County
Hamburg Paris
Hong Kong San Diego
London San Francisco
Los Angeles Silicon Valley
Milan Singapore
Moscow Tokyo

Washington, D.C.

December 14, 2004

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Ex Parte Communication, Developing Unified Intercarrier

Compensation Regime, CC Docket 01-92

Dear Ms. Dortch:

The undersigned counsel for the Intercarrier Compensation Forum ("ICF") delivered the attached information to Jim Lande of the Wireline Competition Bureau today, at his request. This information models the effect on universal service contribution rates of the ICF's Intercarrier Compensation and Universal Service Reform Plan, including its proposed contribution reforms.

On December 6, 2004, the ICF filed this information for annual Steps 1 and 5 of the ICF Plan. This attachment supplements that filing with similar information for Steps 2, 3, and 4 of the ICF Plan.

Please direct any questions concerning this matter to me at (202) 637-2225.

Very truly yours,

/s/ Richard Cameron

Richard R. Cameron

\$ Millions	Base Period Access Revenue		Remaining Intercarrier Payments *			ımulative cess Shift		ser Revenue elta SLC)	TNRM / ICRM Support		
Non-CRTC	\$	7,194	\$	5,560	\$	1,634	\$	1,228	\$	406	
CRTC	\$	2,384	\$	1,932	\$	453	\$	117	\$	336	
TOTAL	OTAL \$ 9,578		\$	7,492	\$	2,087	\$	1,345	\$	742	
Inc	rease i	n High Co	st Fund	l From Char	ıges in	n Existing Hi	igh Cost	Mechanisms	\$	300	
		Lifeliı	ne Incr	eases From	Highe	er Primary R	esident	ial SLC Rates	\$	46	
	Net Settlements Not Included In The Base										
Grand Total \$ 9,578		\$	7,492	\$	2,087	\$	1,345	\$	1,126		

^{*}Remaining Intercarrier Payments for CRTC are understated as EAS / Wireless terminating MOUs are not included in this model Includes Interconnection Transport, Transit Service Revenue, Termination Rate Revenue, and for CRTC only Terminating Transport Charges.

			Estimates @Step 2										
\$ Millions	Base Period Access Revenue		Remaining Intercarrier Payments *		Cumulative Access Shift			ser Revenue elta SLC)	TNRM / ICRM Support				
Non-CRTC	\$	7,194	\$	3,926	\$	3,268	\$	2,510	\$	758			
CRTC	\$	2,384	\$	1,479	\$	906	\$	231	\$	675			
TOTAL	TOTAL \$ 9,578		\$	5,405	\$	4,173	\$	2,741	\$	1,432			
Inc	rease i	n High Co	st Fund	l From Chai	nges in	Existing Hi	gh Cost	Mechanisms	\$	300			
		Lifeliı	ne Incr	eases From	Highe	r Primary R	esident	ial SLC Rates	\$	92			
Net Settlements Not Included In The Base										75			
Grand Total \$ 9,578		\$	5,405	\$	4,173	\$	2,741	\$	1,899				

^{*}Remaining Intercarrier Payments for CRTC are understated as EAS / Wireless terminating MOUs are not included in this model Includes Interconnection Transport, Transit Service Revenue, Termination Rate Revenue, and for CRTC only Terminating Transport Charges.

				Estimates @Step 3										
\$ Millions	Base Period Access Revenue		Remaining Intercarrier Payments *		Cumulative Access Shift			ser Revenue elta SLC)	TNRM / ICRM Support					
Non-CRTC	\$	7,194	\$	2,253	\$	4,941	\$	4,022	\$	919				
CRTC	\$	2,384	\$	837	\$	1,547	\$	345	\$	1,203				
TOTAL	OTAL \$ 9,578		\$	3,090	\$	6,488	\$	4,366	\$	2,122				
Inc	rease i	n High Co	st Fund	l From Chai	nges in	Existing Hi	igh Cost	Mechanisms	\$	300				
		Lifeliı	ne Incr	eases From	Highe	r Primary R	esident	ial SLC Rates	\$	148				
Net Settlements Not Inc								d In The Base	\$	113				
Grand Total \$ 9,578		\$	3,090	\$	6,488	\$	4,366	\$	2,683					

^{*}Remaining Intercarrier Payments for CRTC are understated as EAS / Wireless terminating MOUs are not included in this model Includes Interconnection Transport, Transit Service Revenue, Termination Rate Revenue, and for CRTC only Terminating Transport Charges.

				Estimates @Step 4											
\$ Millions	Base Period Access Revenue		Remaining Intercarrier Payments *		Cumulative Access Shift			ser Revenue elta SLC)	TNRM / ICRM Support						
Non-CRTC	\$	7,194	\$	659	\$	6,536	\$	5,491	\$	1,044					
CRTC	\$	2,384	\$	573	\$	1,811	\$	472	\$	1,339					
TOTAL	OTAL \$ 9,578		\$ 1,232		\$	8,347	\$	5,963	\$	2,383					
Inc	rease i	n High Co	st Fund	From Chai	nges in	Existing Hi	igh Cost	Mechanisms	\$	300					
		Lifeliı	ne Incre	eases From	Highe	r Primary R	esident	ial SLC Rates	\$	200					
Net Settlements Not Included In The Base										150					
Grand Total \$ 9,578			\$	1,232	\$	8,347	\$	5,963	\$	3,033					

^{*}Remaining Intercarrier Payments for CRTC are understated as EAS / Wireless terminating MOUs are not included in this model Includes Interconnection Transport, Transit Service Revenue, Termination Rate Revenue, and for CRTC only Terminating Transport Charges.

				Estimates @Step 5										
\$ Millions	Base Period Access Revenue		Access Intercarrie		rier Cumulative			ıser Revenue Delta SLC)	TNRM / ICRN Support					
Non-CRTC	8	7,194	\$	659	\$	6,536	\$	5,778	\$	757				
CRTC	\$	2,384	\$	573	\$	1,811	\$	566	\$	1,246				
TOTAL	TOTAL \$ 9,578		\$ 1,232		\$ 8,347		\$ 6,34		\$	2,003				
1	Increa	se in High	Cost Fu	und From Cl	hange	s in Existing	High Co	st Mechanisms	\$	300				
		Lif	eline II	acreases Fro	m Hi	gher Primary	y Reside	ntial SLC Rates	\$	216				
	Net Settlements Not Included In The Base													
Grand Total	Grand Total \$ 9,578			1,232	\$ 8,347		\$ 6,344		\$	2,669				

^{*}Remaining Intercarrier Payments for CRTC are understated as EAS / Wireless terminating MOUs are not included in this model Includes Interconnection Transport, Transit Service Revenue, Termination Rate Revenue, and for CRTC only Terminating Transport Charges.

P	reli	minary E	Est	imates o	f L	JSF per u	ni	t				
		2004		Step 1		Step 2		Step 3		Step 4		Step 5
Assessment Per Unit Per Month	\$	1.05	\$	1.23	\$	1.31	\$	1.41	\$	1.40	\$	1.34
Revenue (\$ Thousands)												
BaselineUSF	\$	6,521,269	\$	6,521,269	\$	6,521,269	\$	6,521,269	\$	6,521,269	\$	6,521,269
Overlay from the lifting of rural cap	\$	-	\$	300,000	\$	300,000	\$	300,000	\$	300,000	\$	300,000
Overlay from Lifeline due to increase in SLC	\$	-	\$	46,484	\$	91,950	\$	148,175	\$	200,164	\$	215,893
Net Settlements Not in the base			\$	37,500	\$	75,000	\$	112,500	\$	150,000	\$	150,000
ICF Increase to the USF	\$	-	\$	741,647	\$	1,432,358	\$	2,122,005	\$	2,383,168	\$	2,002,616
Total USF	\$	6,521,269	\$	7,646,900	\$	8,420,577	\$	9,203,949	\$	9,554,601	\$	9,189,778
						Un	its					
Category		Dec-03 *		Step 1		Step 2		Step 3		Step 4		Step 5
ILEC **	2	298,903,000		298,903,000		299,236,333	-	299,403,000	2	299,903,000	2	299,903,000
ILEC Lifeline Subscribers		5,907,789		5,907,789		5,907,789		5,907,789		5,907,789		5,907,789
CLEC		31,699,000		31,699,000		31,699,000		31,699,000		31,699,000		31,699,000
Cellular/PCS ***	1	07,438,041		107,438,041		123,972,721		132,240,062	1	57,042,082	•	157,042,082
Pager Lines		11,208,000		11,208,000		11,208,000		11,208,000		11,208,000		11,208,000
Toll Free Numbers		22,050,182		22,050,182		22,050,182		22,050,182		22,050,182		22,050,182
Special access +		20,814,774		20,814,774		20,814,774		20,814,774		20,814,774		20,814,774
Total Broadband		27,260,834		27,260,834		27,260,834		27,260,834		27,260,834		27,260,834
Total units available (w/o Lifeline)		19,373,831		519,373,831	Ļ	536,241,845	•	544,675,851	5	69,977,872		569,977,872

^{*} For this analysis, Step 1 Units are used for Dec-03.

12/14/2004 3:45 PM

^{**} Rural ILEC primary line is a full unit. Additional lines are phased in 1/2, 2/3, 3/4, 1.00 over four steps.

^{***} Primary subscriber is a full unit. Additional subscribers are phased in 1/2, 2/3, 3/4, 1.00 over four steps.

⁺ Special Access units are weighted (weights are 1, 5, 40, 100 for Tier 1,2,3 and 4, respectively)